

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/092,525 03/08/2002		Keishiro Okamoto	020214	3829		
38834	3834 7590 · 02/08/2005		EXAMINER			
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			GEBREMARIA	GEBREMARIAM, SAMUEL A		
SUITE 700	CHCOI AVENUE, IV	v	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20036		2811			

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/092,525	OKAMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Samuel A. Gebremariam	2811		

	, ,						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Samuel A. Gebremariam	2811					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 January 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing o		. 6 1					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	vicated alaims					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		gected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	,	omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant / anonamen	. (1 102 024).				
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).	_	•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	NO(s).					
13.	(10/10					
		EDDIE LEE					
	SUPFI	RVISORY PATENT EX	AMINER				

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation of a support substrate made of a semiconductor material as recited in claim 1 warrant further consideration and/or search because finally rejected claims 2,7,8,10,11,13 and 14 did not include this limitation.